

# **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT** ( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10552094
Filing Date		2005-04-10
First Named Inventor	Navarro	
Art Unit	3738	
Examiner Name		
Attorney Docket Number	ZP193-05002	

U.S. PATENTS							Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		
	1							
If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.							Add	
U.S. PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS							Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		
/J.H./	1	20030233097		2003-12-18	Ferree			
If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.							Add	
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number <sup>3</sup>	Country Code <sup>2</sup> i	Kind Code <sup>4</sup>	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T <sup>5</sup>
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>
If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button.							Add	
NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS							Remove	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.						T <sup>5</sup>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10552094
Filing Date		2005-04-10
First Named Inventor	Navarro	
Art Unit		3738
Examiner Name		
Attorney Docket Number		ZP193-05002

1	WIGFILED et al., Internal Stress Distribution in Cervical Intervertebral Discs, Journal of Spinal Disorders and Techniques, 2003, vol.16, No. 5, Pages 441-449, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	LEHUEC, J. C. et al, Shock Absorption in Lumbar Disc Prothesis, Journal of Spinal Disorders and Techniques, 1/15/2003, vol.16, No. 4, Pages 346-351, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	TROPIANO, PATRICK, et al., Lumbar Disc Replacement, Journal of Spinal Disorders and Techniques, 1/15/2003, vol.16, No. 4, Pages 362-368, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	WON JOONG KIM et al., Treatment of Juxtafusal Degeneration with Artificial Disc Replacement (ADR), Journal of Spinal Disorders and Techniques, 1/15/2003, vol.16, No. 4, Pages 390-397, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	BLUMENTHAL, SCOTT et al., Artificial Intervertebral Disc and Beyond: A North American Spine Society Annual Meeting Symposium, The Spinal Journal 2, 2002, Pages 460-463, Elsevier Science Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	BOGDUK et al., A Biological Basis for Instantaneous Centres of Rotation of the Vertebral Column, Journal of Engineering in Medicine, 1995, Pages 177183, IMechE, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	GERTZBEIN et al., Controde Patterns and Segmental Instability in Degenerative Disc Disease, Spine, 1985, Pages 257-261, Vol. 10 No.3, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	GRIFFITH et al., A Multicenter Retrospective Study of the Clinical Results of the LINK SB Charite Intervertebral Prosthesis, Spine, 1994, Pages 1842-1849; Vol. 19 No. 16, J.B. Lippincott Company, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	HAHER et al., Instantaneous Axis of Rotation as a Function of the Three Columns of the Spine, Spine, 1992, Pages S149- S154, Vol. 17 No 6, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	HAHER et al., The Effect of the Three Columns of the Spine on the Instantaneous Axis of Rotation in Flexion and Extension, Spine, 1991, Pages S312 - S318, Vol. 16 No 8, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	KLEIN et al., Relocation of the Bending Axis During Flexion- Extension of Lumbar Intervertebral Discs and its Implication for Prolapse, Spine, 1983, Vol. 8 No. 6, Harper & Row Publishers Inc., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /J.H./

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10552094
Filing Date		2005-04-10
First Named Inventor	Navarro	
Art Unit	3738	
Examiner Name		
Attorney Docket Number	ZP193-05002	

12	JOHN KOSTUIK, Alternatives to Spinal Fusion, Spinal Fusion, October 1998, Pages 701-715, Vol. 29 No. 4, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	XUE-CHENG LIU et al., A New Technique for the Three-Dimensional Study of the Spine In Vitro and In Vivo by Using a Motion-Analysis System, Journal of Spinal Disorders, 1997, Pages 329-338, Vol. 10 No. 4, Lippincott-Raven Publishers, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	PERCY et al., Instantaneous Axes of Rotation of the Lumbar Intervertebral, Spine, 1988, Pages 1033-1041, Vol. 13 No. 9, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	YOSHIOKA et al., Motion Characteristic of the Normal Lumbar Spine in Young Adults: Instantaneous Axis of Rotation and Vertebral Center Motion Analyses, Journal of Spinal Disorders, 1990, Pages 103-113, Vol. 3 No.2, Raven Press Ltd., USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	SELIGMAN et al., Computer Analysis of Spinal Segment Motion in Degenerative Disc Disease with and without Axial Loading, Spine, 1984, Pages 566-573, Vol. 9 No. 6, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	White II et al., Clinical Biomechanics of the Spine, 1990, Pages 128-130, J.B. Lippincott Company, USA	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

**EXAMINER SIGNATURE**

Examiner Signature	/Julianna Harvey/	Date Considered	12/05/2007
--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at [www.USPTO.GOV](http://www.USPTO.GOV) or MPEP 901.04. <sup>2</sup> Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>3</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>4</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>5</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /J.H./

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10552094
Filing Date	2005-04-10
First Named Inventor	Navarro
Art Unit	3738
Examiner Name	
Attorney Docket Number	ZP193-05002

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

**OR**

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☐ Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☒ None

**SIGNATURE**

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Robert Eichenberger/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2006-04-24
Name/Print	Robert H. Eichenberger	Registration Number	42509

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

## Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.